## Exhibit B

	Page 1
UNITED STATES DISTRI	ICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT O	OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVI	ISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX )	
REL. MICHAEL N. SWETNAM, JR. )	
)	
Plaintiff, )	
) CA	ASE NO. 1:08-CV-446
VS.	
)	
VALLEY BAPTIST HEALTH )	
SYSTEM AND VALLEY BAPTIST )	
MEDICAL CENTER )	
)	
Defendants. )	
*************	*****
VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPO	DSITION
OF MICHAEL N. SWE	CTNAM
OCTOBER 28, 20	)14
************	*****

ORAL DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL N. SWETNAM, produced as a witness at the instance of Defendants, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on October 28, 2014, from 10:19 a.m. to 4:46 p.m. before Dawn Flippin, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine, at the Law Offices of Watts Guerra, LLP, 4 Dominion Drive, Building 3, Suite 100, San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules and any provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.



```
Page 2
 1
                               APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
     Mr. Edward L. Allred
     Watts Guerra, LLP
 4
     4 Dominion Drive
     Building 3 - Suite 100
     San Antonio, Texas 78257
 5
     210.527.0500
 6
     Mr. Sheldon Weisfeld
 7
     Sheldon Weisfeld PC
     1401 W Polk Ave, Pharr, TX 78577
     McAllen, TX 78501
 8
     956.778.4173
 9
10
     FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
11
     Mr. Chris Hanslick
     Boyar Miller PC
12
    4265 San Felipe, Suite 1200
     Houston, Texas 77027
    713.850.7766
13
     Mr. Edgar Saldivar
14
     Boyar Miller PC
     4265 San Felipe, Suite 1200
15
     Houston, Texas 77027
16
    713.850.7766
17
     ALSO PRESENT:
18
     Michael Moore, Videographer - Magna Legal Services
19
     Dawn Flippin, CSR, Court Reporter - Magna Legal Services
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



## 

1	TMDEV	Page 3
	INDEX	D = ***
2		Page
	STIPULATIONS	1
3		_
	APPEARANCES	2
4	WITNESS: MICHAEL N. SWETNAM	
5	EXAMINATION BY:	
6	Mr. Hanslick	6
7		
8	WITNESS CHANGES/CORRECTIONS	191
9	WITNESS SIGNATURE	192
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	193
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25		



	Page 34
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Q And do you agree that when XOL was implemented in
13	1993 by Valley Baptist, it was not based upon a physician
14	referring patients into the hospital?
15	A That was inherent in the program, but they did not
16	have to refer patients into Valley Baptist Medical Center.
17	
18	
19	
20	Q Would you also agree that the amount of insurance
21	coverage that XOL provided to a physician was the same
22	regardless of how many patients that doctor referred to Valley
23	Baptist?
24	A I'm going to have to answer this this way.
25	Q Okay.



Page 35 The limit was the same, yes. However, the more 1 patients that the doctor referred into or admitted into Valley 2 Baptist would increase the exposure under the XOL. Okay. And by exposure, you mean the more patients you see, the more likely someone might make a claim against 5 the policy? 6 A Yes. But as far as the -- the level of insurance that was provided to the doctor --9 10 A It was the same. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



```
Page 151
 1
 2
 3
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
                     (Deposition Exhibit Number 78 marked).
12
                (By Mr. Hanslick) Let me hand you what I've marked
13
     as Exhibit 78. Exhibit 78 is an e-mail that you sent to Patsy
14
15
     Nichols relating to the XOL Program, correct?
          Α
               Yes.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 152
 1
 2
 3
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
              Okay. So let's go through this. You start by
16
          Q
17
     saying: In response to your 5-4-98 letter to David Smith, the
     letter concerning the inurement tax issue was reviewed and
18
     provided by the accounting firm Coopers Lybrand and not your
20
     firm, right?
21
          A
              Yes, sir.
22
               Because she was asking for that letter she thought
     her firm had sent, right?
24
          A
             Yes, sir.
               You're setting her straight on that that she was
25
```



Page 153 1 wrong? That -- that's -- from my memory, yeah, that's --2 Α that she was wrong, because I mean it was Coopers Lybrand -- I 3 was told it was Coopers Lybrand who had done the tax portion 5 of it. Okay. Next paragraph: The hospital's XOL Program 6 Q was never based upon the physician's referral of any patient 7 to the hospital facility, right? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 That's what you wrote? 0 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 It was based and conditioned upon each participating physician's agreement to do three items. One, provide on-call 13 14 in the hospital's emergency room. Two, to complete the patient's medical records within 30 days of the patient's 15 discharge date. And three, to participate in the hospital's 16 17 Q/A programs, right? 18 Α Yes, sir. When you wrote the first sentence of this letter to 19 20 Ms. Nichols that the hospital's XOL Program was never based upon physician's referral of any patient to the hospital 21 22 facility, that was an accurate statement, wasn't it? 23 Α In part. What do you mean in part? 24 0 25 Α In -- in -- in part it was. There was nothing that



Page 154 required a physician to refer any patient to Valley Baptist 1 2 for coverage B -- or the second part of the coverage, private 3 office practice. Nothing whatsoever. However, in order for the XOL coverage to 5 provide to a physician's hospital setting claim where a claim 6 from a patient occurred at the hospital, it could only be at Valley Baptist. 7 So did it require the physician to refer the 9 patient to Valley Baptist? Only in order to get the coverage 10 for the hospital claim. I understand. So there --11 Did it --12 Α 13 I'm sorry. Go ahead. 0 14 Did it require the physician to refer the patient from his private office practice over to Valley Baptist? No. 15 And he still got the private office practice claim, because it 16 17 was the physician private office practice. So that's the reason for my response. 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25



	Page 155
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Q But there was nothing that required that the doctor
11	refer the patient to Valley Baptist versus another hospital in
12	and of itself; right?
13	A No.
14	Q In fact, in order to participate in the XOL Program,
15	the doctor did not have to commit to only refer to Valley
16	Baptist, right?
17	A That's right.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	Page 156
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Q And and what you said is they're not required to
15	refer any patient business whatsoever to the hospital?
16	A That's right.
17	Q And that wasn't something I mean that that's
18	an accurate statement. That wasn't something you were forced
19	or directed by Mr. McKibbens to write that you didn't believe,
20	right?
21	A That was an accurate statement right there.
22	
23	
24	
25	



Page 157 1 A Yes. And then you go on: The participating physician can place or refer any patient into any medical facility and/or 3 clinical provider. The XOL Program's private office practice coverages are still applicable to that physician. 5 And that's what you wrote here, and that's 6 7 consistent with what you and I just talked about, right? (Witness nods head). What -- what part of Exhibit 78 do you believe you were forced to write against your will? 10 11 I didn't -- I didn't like writing the fact that it 12 required -- didn't require referral. To me in order to get the coverage, the patient had to go there and had to go to 13 Valley Baptist. And referral to me just meant putting the 14 15 patient in the hospital. 16 I understand. But you agree with me that the -- the 17 doctor didn't have to commit to only refer to Valley Baptist 18 in order to participate in XOL, right? He did not have -- he had -- he had to agree in 19 20 order to get the coverage, he had to place the patient at 21 Valley Baptist in order to get the coverage. 22 Q Right. 23 The XOL coverage. He didn't have to agree to that; that's just how it 24 Q 25 was set up. It was this insurance is available to you under



Page 158

- 1 this program when you're seeing patients at our hospital,
- 2 right?
- 3 MR. ALLRED: Objection, mischaracterizes his
- 4 testimony.
- 5 Q (By Mr. Hanslick) I mean that -- the -- the
- 6 parameters of the program were -- the XOL Program -- were if
- 7 you're seeing a patient at our hospital and you're -- you've
- 8 signed up for the XOL, then there is additional coverage that
- 9 will be afforded to you, correct?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q If you see -- if you go to another hospital, this
- 12 coverage won't travel with you?
- 13 A That's right.
- Q Okay. But the doctors did not have to commit to
- only refer to Valley Baptist, right?
- 16 A That's right. They did not have to, but the way I
- 17 looked at it and the way the plan was set up, it appeared to
- 18 me that in order to get the coverage, they had to put them in
- 19 there in Valley Baptist. In order for them to receive the XOL
- 20 coverage, they had to put them in Valley Baptist.
- MR. HANSLICK: I'm going to strike as
- 22 nonresponsive after that's right.
- Q (By Mr. Hanslick) Okay. We're going to look back
- 24 at Exhibit 58 which is your Declaration for a minute. In
- 25 paragraph 36 is where you in your Declaration summarize the



```
Page 193
 1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                       SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          BROWNSVILLE DIVISION
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX
     REL. MICHAEL N. SWETNAM, JR.
          Plaintiff,
 5
                                        CASE NO. 1:08-CV-446
     VS.
 6
     VALLEY BAPTIST HEALTH
 7
     SYSTEM AND VALLEY BAPTIST
     MEDICAL CENTER
          Defendants.
 9
                      * * * * * * * * * * * * *
                         REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
10
                     VIDEOTAPED ORAL DEPOSITION OF
                 MICHAEL N. SWETNAM - OCTOBER 28, 2014
11
12
          I, Dawn Flippin, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
13
     the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following:
          That the witness, MICHAEL N. SWETNAM, was duly sworn by
14
     the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is
     a true record of the testimony given by the witness;
15
          That the deposition transcript was duly submitted on
16
                          , 2014, to the witness for examination,
     signature and return to me by
17
          That pursuant to information given to the deposition
18
     officer at the time said testimony was taken, the following
     includes all counsel for all parties of record and the amount
19
     of time used by each party at the time of the deposition:
20
          Edward L. Allred - (0:00)
21
              Attorney for Plaintiffs
          Sheldon Weisfeld - (0:00)
22
              Attorney for Plaintiffs
23
          Chris Hanslick - (4:55)
24
              Attorney for Defendants
25
```



	Page 194
1	Edgar Saldivar - (0:00)
	Attorney for Defendants
2	
	That a copy of this certificate was served on all parties
3	shown herein on
4	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related
	to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the
5	action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I
	am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of
6	the action.
7	Certified to by me this day of,
	2014.
8	
9	Maun Thippin
10	€1-∃ 100
11	DAWN FLIPPIN, CSR #4045
	Expiration: 12/31/15
12	
1.0	MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES
13	(866) 624–6221
14	www.MagnaLS.com
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

